

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	HOIDH HOTEL CON	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,423	08/08/2001	Shigeru Fujita	35.C15688	9935	
5514 7	590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRIC	CK CELLA HARPER	LE, VIET Q			
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2667	-	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/923,423	FUJITA, SHIGERU						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Viet Q. Le	2667						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Secondary</u>	eptember 2004.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 32-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 32-40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acco	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate						
3) 区 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/08/2001</u> . 2 PAGES	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32, 35, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii (U.S. 5,347,545) in view of Hendrik (U.S. 6,192,230).

Regarding claim 32 & 38, Ishii disclosed a communication apparatus for executing a communication with a device (See column 2, lines 1-6. Multiple terminals are communicating within the network), comprising: negotiation means (See column 2, lines 58-66. Negotiation between terminals to make sure they are ready to receive messages. This takes place before data are actually transmitted between terminals) for in the case that the device receives a low power consumption mode transit request (transmission disabling code request) to transit from a present mode to a low power consumption mode and prohibit any transmission until the low power consumption mode is released (See column 2, lines 12-17. "Disable code request" is sent to disable all other terminals not intended for communication between terminals), executing a predetermined negotiation with the device in order to prevent transiting a present mode to a low power consumption mode and prohibiting any transmission even though the device

receives the low power consumption mode transit request (See column 2, lines 58-66 & lines 7-17. Negotiation between terminals takes place between terminals before actual data are transmitted. "Disable code" is sent to all terminals. Only other terminals not originally in negotiation are disabled. Terminal in negotiation originally is still enabled and receiving data from the source); first transmitting means for broadcasting (See column 2, lines 44-52) the low power consumption mode transit request (transmission disable code) after said negotiation means executes the predetermined negotiation with the device (See column 2, lines 58-66), and second transmitting means for transmitting a signal to release (column 2, lines 15-18. Enabling code is sent once data transmission is complete) both the low power consumption mode and a transmission prohibition after said communication apparatus communicates with the device.

Ishii, however, fails to explicitly say that the transmission disabling code request can be a low power consumption mode transit request.

Hendrik disclosed that wireless terminals that are not in communication would be in the low power consumption state or in a "Doze" state (See column 1, lines 53-67 & column 2, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hendrik with Ishii for the purpose of implementing a low power consumption state in Ishii. The motivation brings longer battery life.

Regarding claim 35 & 39, Ishii disclosed a communication apparatus for executing a communication with a device, comprising: negotiation means (See column 2, lines 58-66. Negotiation between terminals to make sure they are ready to receive

Art Unit: 2667

messages. This takes place before data are actually transmitted between terminals) for in the case that the device receives a low power consumption mode transit request (transmission disabling code request) to transit from a present mode to a low power consumption mode and prohibit any transmission until the low power consumption mode is released (See column 2, lines 12-17. "Disable code request" is sent to disable all other terminals not intended for communication between terminals), executing a predetermined negotiation with the device in order to prevent transiting a present mode to a low power consumption mode and prohibiting any transmission even though the device receives the low power consumption mode transit request (See column 2, lines 58-66 & lines 7-17. Negotiation between terminals takes place between terminals before actual data are transmitted. "Disable code" is sent to all terminals. Only other terminals not originally in negotiation are disabled. Terminal in negotiation originally is still enabled and receiving data from the source); transmitting means for transmitting (See column 2, lines 44-52) the low power consumption mode transit request (transmission disable code) after said negotiation means executes the predetermined negotiation with the device (See column 2, lines 58-66); and communicating means for communicating with the device (See column 2, lines 44-52), after said transmitting means transmits the low power consumption mode transit request (transmission disable code. See column 2, lines 14-17), a mode of another device (other terminals or devices attached to the network) is transited from a present mode to the low power consumption mode (See column 2, lines 14-17. "Disabled mode" is used to disable other terminals not in negotiation or in communication) and any transmission is prohibited.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,423

Art Unit: 2667

Ishii, however, fails to explicitly say that the transmission disabling code request can be a low power consumption mode transit request.

Hendrik disclosed that wireless terminals that are not in communication would be in the low power consumption state or in a "Doze" state (See column 1, lines 53-67 & column 2, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hendrik with Ishii for the purpose of implementing a low power consumption state in Ishii. The motivation brings longer battery life.

Regarding claim 36 & 40, Ishii disclosed a communication apparatus for executing a communication with a device, comprising: negotiation means for executing a predetermined negotiation with the device before data transmission (See column 2, lines 58-66. Negotiation between terminals to make sure they are ready to receive messages. This takes place before data are actually transmitted between terminals), receiving means for receiving a low power consumption mode (transmit disable code) transit request to transit from a present mode to a low power consumption mode and prohibit any transmission (See column 2, lines 44-52; column 2, lines 12-17. "Disable code request" is sent to disable all other terminals not intended for communication between terminals), control means, in the case that said receiving means receives a low power consumption mode transit request to transit from a present mode to a low power consumption mode (See column 2, lines 12-17. "Disable code request" is sent to disable all other terminals not intended for communication between terminals), for transiting said communication apparatus from a present mode to a low consumption power mode

Application/Control Number: 09/923,423

Art Unit: 2667

(See column 2, lines 1-17) and controlling said communication apparatus to prohibit any transmission according to presence or absence of execution of the predetermined negotiation with the device by said negotiation means (See column 2, lines 1-17).

Ishii, however, fails to explicitly say that the transmission disabling code request can be a low power consumption mode transit request.

Hendrik disclosed that wireless terminals that are not in communication would be in the low power consumption state or in a "Doze" state (See column 1, lines 53-67 & column 2, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hendrik with Ishii for the purpose of implementing a low power consumption state in Ishii. The motivation brings longer battery life.

Regarding claim 37, Ishii disclosed a communication apparatus according to claim 36, wherein, in the case that said receiving means (See column 2, lines 44-52) receives a signal to release a low power consumption mode (transmission disabling code), said control means executes a control to release both a low power consumption mode and a transmission prohibition (See column 2, lines 1-17).

Ishii, however, fails to explicitly say that the transmission disabling code request can be a low power consumption mode transit request.

Hendrik disclosed that wireless terminals that are not in communication would be in the low power consumption state or in a "Doze" state (See column 1, lines 53-67 & column 2, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hendrik with Ishii for the purpose of implementing a low power consumption state in Ishii. The motivation brings longer battery life.

3. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii (U.S. 5,347,545) in view of Hendrik (U.S. 6,192,230) and in further view of Novakov (U.S. 6,571,103).

Regarding claim 33 & 34, Ishii disclosed multi-terminal communication system for smoothly and correctly communicating data between a plurality of terminal equipment as described above.

Hendrik disclosed nodes or terminals in a power saving mode that are not in communication as described above.

Ishii and Hendrik, however, fails disclose the communication is executed by using a Bluetooth system.

Novakov disclosed a Bluetooth system that offers a low power radio communication link for transmission of both voice and data (See column 1, lines 14-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hendrik & Ishii with Novakov for the purpose of implementing a low power consumption state using Bluetooth in Vovakov. The motivation brings longer battery life.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VL

PRIMARY EXAMINER